



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	████████	
Last Name	Bennett	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>	N/A	
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>	N/A	
Address Line 1	████████████████████	
Line 2	████████	
Line 3	Shipley	
Line 4	West Yorkshire	
Post Code	BD17 ██████	
Telephone Number	██	
Email Address	██	
Signature:	████████ by email)	Date: 30/3/2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I was made aware of the Core Strategy by word of mouth this week. Why was Baildon library not amongst the libraries in which the Core Strategy Development Plan was placed for inspection? Many Baildon residents will not be aware of the Plan because Baildon library was not on the inspection list.

The SHLAA Update Report dated May 2013 and the Settlement Area list of maps showing the Baildon area proposals do not appear to be stated in the Core Strategy with the proposed new build housing figures , all information should be issued together.

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	-------------------------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Core Strategy emphasises conserving Saltaire and Keighley , this should also equally apply to the residents and area of Baildon. If Green belt is part of a policy to control urban growth it should be kept as such for the enjoyment of residents .

Strategic Objective 12 is to “Safeguard , enhance and promote the historic built and natural heritage” , the building of more houses on Green belt will not achieve this objective. Strategic Objective 1 says “Promote the role of Bradford district within the Leeds City region”, what has Leeds got to do with the residents of Baildon?, the future of housing development should be decided by Bradford Council in conjunction with the residents of Baildon.

The Baildon transport infrastructure in section 4.1 affects the area of Lower Baildon and may improve their road and rail but the planned housing in Upper Baildon off West Lane will not benefit from these improvements because getting down to Lower Baildon at peak traffic times will increase dramatically because the traffic jams will be extended out radially from the main roundabout.

New housing will dramatically increase the need for more school places and the local surgeries whilst the traffic flows and consequent parking issues will increase with the flow to and from schools with children being taken and collected from schools.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In relation to the soundness of policy I suggest that brownfield sites are considered for future housing.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

(by e-mail)

Date:

30/3/2014

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

